MICROWAVES ON MY MIND
ATLANTA IMS 2008
INTERNATIONAL MICROWAVE SYMPOSIUM
June 15-20, 2008

Switched Doherty PAs for 3G

Thomas Apel

TriQuint SEMICONDUCTOR

2300 NE Brookwood Parkway
Hillsboro, OR 97124

Outline

- Introduction and motivation
- Pros and Cons
- Background: Stepped bias and switched periphery
- Switched Doherty
- Example PAs
- Performance improvement techniques
- Test data
- Tristate switched Doherty PA in BiHEMT process
- Conclusion

Why Use Switched Doherty PAs?

- CDMA talk-time is strongly influenced by PA current
- Average PA current is dependent on power efficiency at low power levels (in addition to full power PAE)
- Improvement in low power PAE requires:
  - a reduction in supply voltage *OR*
  - an increase in load impedance

  (compared to full power levels)
- Load impedance can be changed explicitly (switched) or implicitly (periphery switching)
- The results reported here use implicit load control to improve low power efficiency

Pros and Cons

- Switched Doherty PAs are not easy to implement
  - Z inverter (Zo and insertion phase)
  - Delay line (Zo and insertion phase)
  - Interstage branch impedances
  - *ALL MUST BE CORRECT- consistent with final stage segmentation*
- Performance advantages include:
  - High PAE in both low and high power modes
  - No insertion phase discontinuity during mode change
  - Increased load insensitivity and superior 3rd order reverse IMD due to quadrature operation in high power mode
  - All periphery is used in high power mode
  - External load impedance is same as conventional PA

Background: Conventional PA with Stepped Bias

- 1st GENERATION CONVENTIONAL PA
- 1-BIT CONTROL
- ONLY DC QUIESCENT REDUCTION IN LOW MODE
- POOR LOW MODE PAE DUE TO MISMATCH





TQ7632

Background : Conventional PA with Switched Periphery

- 2nd GENERATION CONVENTIONAL PA
- 1-BIT CONTROL
- BETTER LINEARITY IN LOW MODE THAN STEPPED BIAS
- POOR LOW MODE PAE DUE TO MISMATCH



TQ7634



Switched Periphery Load Sharing



HIGH POWER MODE



LOW POWER MODE

Switched Doherty Load Sharing





HIGH POWER MODE

LOW POWER MODE

CDMA Load Sharing Prototype



HIGH POWER MODE



LOW POWER MODE

Constant-K LC Impedance Inverter



M-derived LC Impedance Inverter



$L = Z_0/\omega_0$, $Ls = Z_0(3\omega_0)^{-1}$, $Cs = 3(4\omega_0 Z_0)^{-1}$

TRAP RESONANCE SET TO 2nd HARMONIC

Switched Periphery *to* Switched Doherty



Switched Doherty PA



Low Power Mode



Low Power Mode



Contrast with Other Techniques

SWITCHED DOHERTY IS DUAL PATH SPECIAL CASE



DUAL PATH ARCHITECTURE



LOW POWER MODE

Contrast with Other Techniques *(continued)*



DISTORTION IN HIGH POWER MODE



SWITCHED DUAL PATH

Isolation at Interstage Split



NO ISOLATION



WITH ISOLATION

PCS 1ST Generation Switched Doherty



- 1120 x 1200 um^2
- 6480 um^2 final
- 550 um^2 driver
- external 2nd harmonic tuning

PCS 2ND Generation Switched Doherty



- 1170 x 1230 um^2
- 6480 um^2 final
- 550 um^2 driver
- internal 2nd harmonic tuning

Cellular 2ND Generation Switched Doherty



- 1120 x 1470 um^2
- 6480 um^2 final
- 550 um^2 driver
- internal 2nd harmonic tuning

FISHBONE BASE Geometry for Low C_{BC}





- 75% of *STANDARD CELL* C_{BC}
- 57% of *HAIRPIN CELL* Rb'
- SOA is 2X *HAIRPIN CELL*
- >1 dB gain increase
- >2 % ηa increase

Low Inductance Base Manifold



Large base $L_B \pm \Delta L_B$ ***Low $L_B \pm \Delta L_B$*** ***Low $L_B \pm \Delta L_B$***

- Lateral manifold contributes to L_B
- A low Zo transmission line can reduce L_B
- This is realized with ***METAL-2 / BCB / METAL-1*** stack
- Increased shunt capacitance is parasitic result
- Lumped equivalent model is convenient

Low Interconnect L from Low Zo Lines



Low Zo Base Manifold - PCS Band





LOW POWER CELLS

HIGH POWER CELLS

Low Zo Base Manifold - Cellular Band



Improved Inductors

- Standard overlay increases L but looses Q
- Split and split-overlay increase Q
- Split singles loose area efficiency
- Split-overlay and hybrids are the best overall for good Q with area efficiency



Split Line Spiral
Single Layer



Broadside Coupled
Split Line Spiral

Cellular CDMA Performance



PCS CDMA Performance



UMTS Cellular WCDMA (Rel.99)



UMTS PCS WCDMA (Rel.99)



UMTS Cellular WCDMA (HSDPA)



UMTS PCS WCDMA (HSDPA)



Improvement: Tristate Switched Doherty

- Tristate (2-bit) power control.
- Build on switched Doherty (2-state) core
- No Vref needed -- PA operates directly from Vbatt.
- Enabled with logic interface (< 100uA control)
- Back compatible into standard sockets Vref pin is replaced by "*ENABLE*" logic input.
- Quiescent currents:
  - 6 mA **!** ultra low power mode,
  - 25 mA low power mode, and
  - 78 mA high power mode.

Approach

BiHEMT process enables integrated solution:

- Switched Doherty PA core in HBT
- V_{REF} elimination with pHEMT current sources for HBT bias circuits
- Low current logic pHEMT
- RF switch in pHEMT

BiHEMT: Co-integration of HBT and pHEMT



Tristate PA Module with pHEMT and HBT Chips



HBT PA Size Reduced from Original Switched Doherty



TRISTATE HBT PA

- Builds on original architecture
- HBT PA area is substantially reduced due to removal of complex bias and control circuitry
- Type-1 or type-6 current mirrors are designed to be driven by the Bias-Control chip



ORIGINAL SWITCHED DOHERTY

Switched Doherty PA Core (2 state)





Tristate Switched Doherty PA





Ultra-Low Power Mode





Simplified View of RF Loading in Tristate PA



- *Switched Doherty 2-state core*
- *Ultra-low power mode uses load from low power mode*
- *RF input switch drives single cell in ultra-low mode*

Separate pHEMT and HBT Chips

pHEMT BIAS / CONTROL



HBT POWER AMPLIFIER



Bias Control ⇨ PA Interface



E/D pHEMT Bias Control with HBT PA



Standard HBT Bias Reference Circuits



Elimination of V_{REF}



- Current density is mirrored in RF (biased) device
- I_{REF} set by (V_{REF} – Vbe)/R_{SENSE}
- Precise control of V_{REF} required
- Low Z_{SOURCE} requires high I_{MIRROR} (and high I_{REF})

TYPE-I BIAS MIRROR REFERENCE CIRCUITS

- Current density is mirrored in RF (biased) device
- I_{REF} set independent of V_{REF} by current source
- Low Z_{SOURCE} is easily obtained since current flows from Vcc (V_{BAT})



Current Sources for Bias Circuits



- pHEMT I_{MAX} is well behaved (epi dependent)
- $\sigma < 7\%$ $(3\sigma = 20\%)$
- Geometry scaled to set current

I_G Pull-up for Imax Operation of Reference FET



- I_G < 1 μA
- I_G is dependent on Idss (a wide distribution)
- σ = 16% (3σ = 48%)
- Tight control of I_G is not important

PA Enable


• VREF PIN used
• Logic interface
• VREF not needed
I total (mA)
90.00
80.00
70.00
60.00
50.00
40.00
30.00
20.00
10.00
0.00
0.00
0.50
1.00
1.50
2.00
2.50
3.00
3.50
Enable (V)
-10C ULP
+30C ULP
+90C ULP
-10C LP
+30C LP
+90C LP
-10C HP
+30C HP
+90C HP

High Mode Quiescent Current



Low Mode Quiescent Current

Ultra-Low Mode Quiescent Current



Tristate Switched Doherty PA Data







- Switched Doherty performance extended to ultra-low power mode
- Quiescent current typically below 6 mA in ultra-low mode
- Ultra-low mode supports up to +12 dBm with -40 dBc ACPR

BiHEMT Tristate Switched Doherty

1st GENERATION SWITCHED DOHERTY CORE



2nd GENERATION SWITCHED DOHERTY CORE



Conclusion

- Switched Doherty PAs have been realized in both PCS and Cellular bands for linear operation.
- Power efficiency and linearity are quite good in both high and low power operation.
- Basic switched Doherty architecture has been extended to Tristate operation with extremely low quiescent current in the lowest power mode
- BiHEMT process enables integration of pHEMT and HBT circuitry in Tristate PA
- Several techniques to improve performance have also been presented:
  - A new HBT geometry provides reduced C_{BC}
  - Use of low Zo interconnect structures to reduce inductance in base feed manifold
  - Use of composite split-line and overlay (broadside coupled) inductors for better area efficiency and good Q
- CDMA talk-time is significantly increased.

Acknowledgements

Yulung Tang
Tarun Juneja
Brian McNamara
Tim Kramer
Marla Hammond
Jeff Damm
Eric Reavis